A Software Prototype for Real-time Ablation Zone Planning Using Distance Transformation Calculated Isosurfaces

Jan Egger, Ph.D., Ph.D. 1,2,3, Tina Kapur, Ph.D. 1, Philipp Bruners, M.D., Ph.D. 4, Tobias Penzkofer, M.D. 1,4

Dept. of Radiology, Surgical Planning Lab, Brigham and Women's Hospital Boston, MA, USA, Dept. of Mathematics and Computer Science, University of Marburg, Marburg, Germany, Dept. of Neurosurgery, University of Marburg, Marburg, Germany, Dept. of Diagnostic and Interventional Radiology, RWTH Aachen University Hospital, Germany

Purpose - Thermal or electrical ablative techniques are used in a variety of pathologies, such as local tumor control or local pain treatment. Additionally to cryoablation, microwave ablation radiofrequency ablation, irreversible electroporation (IRE) was recently added to the toolbox of image guided therapy (IGT). For all planning aforementioned techniques monitoring of the ablation areal is central to the procedure's success [1]. Many published methods include CPU-intensive simulations of ablation techniques [2,3]. We present a method to plan and monitor estimated ablation volumes in real-time.




Figure 1. Left: Manually defined seed points at two needle tips. Right: Three cylinders (yellow) generated via six seed points.

Methods – A research software prototype was developed using MeVisLab (https://www.mevislab.de). In a showcase application the electrical field of irreversible electroporation was estimated using Euclidian distance transformations (DTF): a distinct inverse DTF around every manually determined (Figure 1) needle tip was calculated after manually segmenting the needle tips in an intraprocedural CT scan. Subsequently, summation of the distance fields was performed, isosurfaces at three distinct isovalues were calculated (Figure 2) and compared to postprocedural imaging (Figure 3).

Results – The segmentation and isosurface calculation could be performed swiftly with minimal user interaction. A qualitative comparison of the generated isosurfaces with postprocedurally acquired MRI imaging showed reasonable concordance of the predicted and achieved ablation volume in the presented use case of irreversible electroporation (Figure 3).

Conclusions - A software prototype was developed for preprocedural planning and intraoperative monitoring of ablative procedures such as IRE. The method needs to be validated against known ablative technologies parameter sets for different ablation scenarios to be determined [3,4]. Potential applications of the technique are real-time navigation based ablation volume calculation, real-time monitoring and adjustment of ablation volumes, especially in IRE, cryotherapy and microwave ablation. The value of the more tissue dependent and heat sink prone radiofrequency ablation is however questionable. The easy extensibility towards modified ablation volume calculations could be of further value.




Figure 2. Several isosurfaces around three IRE needles: low energy level (orange), medium energy level (green) and high energy level (blue).




Figure 3. Distance based simulation of the ablation areal on an intraprocedural CT (left) and ablation areal in postprocedure MRI (right).

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to acknowledge Fraunhofer MeVis in Bremen, Germany, for their collaboration and especially Horst K. Hahn for his support. This work was supported by National Institutes of Health (NIH) grant P41EB015898.

References

- [1] Y. Zhang, et al. MR imaging to assess immediate response to irreversible electroporation for targeted ablation of liver tissues: preclinical feasibility studies in a rodent model. Radiology; 256(2):424-32, 2010.
- [2] B. Rubinsky. Irreversible Electroporation in Medicine. Technol Cancer Res Treat; 6(4):255-60, 2007.
- [3] J.F. Edd & R.V. Davalos. *Mathematical modeling of irreversible electroporation for treatment planning*. Technol Cancer Res Treat; 6(4):275-86, 2007.
- [4] K.H. Zou, et al. Statistical Validation of Image Segmentation Quality Based on a Spatial Overlap Index: Scientific Reports. Academic Radiology, 11(2), pp. 178-189, 2004.